



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/732,621

12/08/2000

Wooyoung Kim

10001279-1

9724

7590

01/27/2005

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

COLIN, CARL G

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2136

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/732,621	Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner Carl Colin	Art Unit 2136	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 August 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☒ The proposed drawing correction filed on 19 August 2004 is: a) ☒ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. In response to communications filed on 8/19/2004, applicant amends claim 1. The following claims 1-13 are presented for examination.
2. The amendments to the specification, pages 2-5 and the amendment to the drawings, filed on 8/19/2004 have been considered. The objections to the specification, the drawings, and the abstract have been withdrawn with respect to the amended specifications and drawing.
3. Applicant's remarks, pages 10-13, filed on 8/19/2004, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-13 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Bae does not teach or suggest a query as being sent from a system core to a remote core. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Bae discloses sending a query from the system core (web server) to the remote core (database) as illustrated in figures 3, 4, 9 and 13; for example in column 10, lines 5-35, Bae discloses that the query is sent from the web server to the database management system (114) residing in the enterprise server (as stated in column 8, lines 1-6). Applicant argues that the resultant result (SQL script or HTML page) do not meet the recitation of message identifying a remote resource resource. Examiner respectfully disagrees because a typical URL path identifies a remote resource, which meets the recitation of a message identifying a remote resource as disclosed in column 12, lines 5-35. (See also column 16, lines 1-23). For at least the reasons cited above, Applicant has not overcome the rejection, therefore claims 1-13 remain rejected under 35 USC 102.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4.1 **Claims 1-13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,295,531 to **Bae et al.**

4.2 **As per claims 1 and 8, Bae et al.** discloses a method and system of locating a remote resource using a system core, the method comprising: receiving a query from a client, for example (see column 16, lines 24-36); sending a query from the system core to a remote core over a communication network, for example (see column 16, lines 24-49 see also column 10,

Art Unit: 2136

lines 5-35 and drawings); and in response to the query, receiving from the remote core a message identifying a remote resource, for example (see column 16, lines 24-49 and column 12, lines 6-35). **Bae et al.** discloses receiving a URL or web page in response that provides identification of the remote resource.

As per claims 2 and 9, Bae et al. discloses the limitation of further comprising: prior to sending the query, running the query in an advertising service associated with the system core, for example (see column 13, lines 20-33 and column 13, line 60 through column 14, line 51).

As per claims 3 and 10, Bae et al. discloses the claimed method of claim 1, further comprising: prior to sending the query, authenticating the system core, for example (see column 13, lines 20-33 and column 13, line 60 through column 14, line 51).

As per claims 4 and 11, Bae et al. discloses the claimed method of claim 1, further comprising: receiving a key ring from the remote core, the key ring representing the client's permission to access a resource registered in the remote core, for example (see column 15, line 58 through column 16, line 24).

As per claim 5, Bae et al. discloses the claimed method of claim 1, wherein the query sent by the system core is run against an advertising service associated with the remote core, for example (see column 13, lines 20-33 and column 13, line 60 through column 14, line 51).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claims 6 and 12, Bae et al. discloses the claimed method of claim 1, further comprising: prior to sending the query, locating a remote core by: accessing a portal, for example (see column 13, line 61 through column 14, line 22); sending a query to be run in the portal, for example (see column 13, line 61 through column 14, line 22); and receiving a connection object from the remote core associated with a remote advertising service matching the query run by the portal, for example (see column 14, line 23 through column 15, line 5).

As per claims 7 and 13, Bae et al. discloses the claimed method of claim 6, further comprising: establishing a connection with the remote core using the connection object, for example (see column 4, lines 32-62 and column 14, line 23 through column 15, line 5); retrieving an identification of a resource handler of a remote resource matching the query received from the client, for example (see column 4, lines 32-62); and contacting the resource handler to access the remote resource, for example (see column 4 line 54 through column 5, line 16).

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2136

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5.1 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl Colin whose telephone number is 571-272-3862. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.


Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.



Carl Colin

Patent Examiner

January 22, 2005


GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100